

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO: 14505 of 2005

Between:

K.Sri Rama Chandra Murthy, s/o Viswanatham,
R/o 7-1-221, Mallapur Complex, Mettugadda, Mahaboobnagar.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Andhra Pradesh Khadi and Village Industries Board, Hyderabad rep., by its Chief
Executive officer.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondents in not transferring the petitioner in pursuance of the requisition made by him, as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondent to transfer the petitioner to Hyderabad Station.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent: None present.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

-

ORDER:

-

-

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction of the respondent in considering the representation of the petitioner seeking transfer from Mahabubnagar to Hyderabad.

2. According to the petitioner he joined the respondent-organization as a Typist in the year 1980. Thereafter, he was promoted as Auditor in the year 1981 and further promoted as Accountant and further as a Development Officer and Functional Manager. The post of Functional Manager was re-designated as Deputy Director. It is stated that the petitioner, who was working at Mahabubnagar, as his aged parents are suffering with health problems and as they require proper medical attendance, made a representation for transfer to Hyderabad, in the light of the lifting of the ban of transfers by an order of the Government issued in G.O.Ms.No.144 dated 07-06-2005. However, the grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent did not consider the said representation. Hence, he has come up with the present writ petition.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the material on record.

4. As the grievance of the petitioner is only that his representation was not considered for transfer from Mahabubnagar to Hyderabad, the respondent-authorities are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders expeditiously, preferably, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

06th July, 2005

SKM

To

1 Andhra Pradesh Khadi and Village Industries Board, Hyderabad rep.,
by its Chief Executive officer.

2 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**RS**}*